

REMARKS

The above-referenced patent application has been reviewed in light of the Final Office Action dated **August 13, 2004**, in which claims 1-4, 7-13, 15-20 and 22-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Jackson et al. (U.S. patent 6,452,809, "Jackson") and claims 5, 14 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Jackson in view of the CompactPCI board/form factor. In response, Applicant initially filed an Appeal Brief and later requested an Examiner Interview to discuss the Action. Applicant and the Examiner conducted the Examiner Interview on April 5, 2005. The substance of the discussions between Applicant and the Examiner during the Interview will be captured in an Examiner Interview summary to be submitted by the Examiner.

Current Status of Claims:

Claims 1-5 and 7-32 remain in the application. Applicant has introduced new claims 33-36 as presented above. Support for these new claims can be found in the original specification, claims and/or figures. In this regard, no new matter has been introduced. The addition of new claims 33-36 was discussed during the Interview and Applicant thanks the Examiner for recognizing that adequate support exists in the original specification for these new claims.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102:

Applicant thanks the Examiner for recognizing during the Interview that claims 1, 12, and 19, as amended above, are allowable over the prior art of record (Jackson). In addition, Applicant notes that claims 2-4, 7-11, 13, 15-18, 20, 22-29 each depend from one of independent

claims 1, 12, or 19. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw rejection of independent claims 1, 12, and 19 and dependent claims 2-4, 7-11, 13, 15-18, 20, 22-29.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103:

Claims 5, 14, and 21 depend from independent claims 1, 12 and 19, respectively. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw rejection of claim 5, 14, and 21.

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-5 and 7-36, are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. *The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.*

Respectfully submitted,
David A. Bottom

Date: April 11, 2005

by: Ted A. Crawford
Ted A. Crawford
Reg. No. 50,610
Patent Attorney for Assignee Intel Corporation

Intel Corporation
PO Box 5326
SC4-202
Santa Clara, CA 95056-5326
Tel. (503) 712.2799

Application No. 09/880,403
Atty. Docket No. P11670

-11-

Examiner Dang
Art Unit 2111